arXiv:math-ph/0409036v1 16 Sep 2004

Binding threshold for the Pauli–Fierz operator

Rafael D. Benguria and Semjon A. Vugalter

Abstract. For the Pauli–Fierz operator with a short range potential we study the binding threshold $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ as a function of the fine structure constant α and show that it converges to the binding threshold for the Schrödinger operator in the limit $\alpha \to 0$.

1. Introduction

Recently it was proved that the interaction of matter with a quantized radiation field leads to the so–called *enhanced binding* (see [**4**]; other results with some additional restrictions can be found in [**7**] and [**2**]). This means that the Pauli–Fierz operator, which describes a particle interacting with an external potential and a quantized radiation field may have a ground state even if the corresponding Schrödinger operator (with the same potential) does not have discrete eigenvalues.

On physical grounds one expects the Schrödinger operator to give a relatively accurate description of the process of binding of a particle by an external potential, which requires only small corrections. This intuition is based on the fact that the coupling of the particle with a quantized radiation field includes a small parameter (the *fine structure constant* α), and consequently the effects of enhanced binding cannot be very strong. However a mathematically consistent proof of the fact that for small α the binding threshold for the Pauli–Fierz operator is close to the one for the Schrödinger operator, as well as the fact of the existence of this thresholdup, to the extent of our knowledge, has not been given yet. The goal of this paper is to provide such a proof.

Let

$$H = T + \lambda V, \tag{1.1}$$

be the Pauli–Fierz operator, with the self–energy operator T, negative short–range potential V and coupling constant λ. And let,

$$h = -\Delta + \lambda V, \tag{1.2}$$

the corresponding Schrödinger operator. Let λ_0 be the critical coupling constant such that for $\lambda > \lambda_0$ the operator h has a ground state and for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ doesn't.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q99.

Key words and phrases. Pauli–Fierz operator, critical binding, short–range potentials.

This work was supported in part by Fondecyt (Chile), Project # 102–0844.

Work partially supported by HPRN–CT–2002–0027, and the Volkswagen Stiftung through a cooperation grant.

Similarly, let $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ be the critical coupling constant for the operator H. We prove that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0}\lambda_1(\alpha)=\lambda_0.$$

Given the binding condition by Griesemer, Lieb and Loss [**6**], the proof of *enhanced binding* for the Pauli–Fierz operator, for small α, relies on the construction of a trial function for which the expectation value of this operator is less than the self–energy of the particle. This construction proves that $\lambda_1(\alpha)<\lambda_0$, but it cannot answer the question of how close is $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ to λ_0. To answer one needs to estimate lower bounds on the quadratic form of H. In the work at hand this is done by carefully studying the properties of the self–energy operator for different values of the total momentum. It turns out that very large values of the total momentum as well as values of the total momentum much smaller than α are almost irrelevant. For intermediate values of the momentum we estimate the expectation value of the Pauli–Fierz operator in terms of the Schrödinger operator with an effective potential which approaches the original potential when α tends to zero.

2. Statement of the problem

The Hamiltonian for an electron interacting with the quantized radiation field and a given external potential $V(x)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$, is

$$H=T+\lambda V(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$T=(p+\sqrt{\alpha}A(x))^2+g\sqrt{\alpha}\sigma\cdot B(x)+H_f. \tag{2.2}$$

We fix units such that $\hbar=c=1$ and the electron mass $m=1/2$, $\alpha=e^2$ is the *fine structure* constant, where e is the charge of the electron. The natural value of α is approximately $1/137$, however, as usual, we will think about α as a parameter in the operator T. The parameter g, whose value is either 0 or 1 is introduced to describe both the spin ($g=1$) and the spinles ($g=0$) cases. As usual $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$ is the vector of Pauli matrices, $p=-i\nabla_x$, $B(x)=\nabla\times A(x)$. The magnetic vector potential $A(x)$ is given by

$$A(x)=\sum_{\lambda=1,2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\tilde{\chi}(|k|)}{2\pi|k|^{1/2}}\varepsilon_\lambda\left[a_\lambda(k)e^{ikx}+a^*_\lambda(k)e^{-ikx}\right]dk, \tag{2.3}$$

where the operators a_λ, a^*_λ satisfy the usual commutation relations

$$[a_\nu(k),a^*_\lambda(q)]=\delta(k-q)\delta_{\lambda,\nu},\qquad [a_\nu(k),a_\lambda(q)]=0.$$

The vectors $\varepsilon_\lambda(k)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ are the two possible orthonormal polarization vectors, perpendicular to k.

The function $\tilde{\chi}(|k|)$ in (2.3) describes the ultraviolet cutoff on k. We assume that $\tilde{\chi}$ is differentiable and $\tilde{\chi}(|k|)=0$ for $|k|>\Lambda$ with some $\Lambda>0$.

The photon field energy H_f is given by

$$H_f=\sum_{\lambda=1,2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|k|a^*_\lambda(k)a_\lambda(k)\,dk.$$

We denote by E_0 the infimum of the spectrum of T.

For $g=1$ the operators T and H are considered on the space

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^3;C^2)\otimes\mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fock space for the photon field.

If $g = 0$, the corresponding space is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

The operator H is semibounded from below and essentially self–adjoint [**1**] (see also [**8**]).

The potential $\lambda V(x)$ is piecewise continuous and short–range in the sense that

$$|V(x)| < c_L(1 + |x|)^{-2-\delta} \tag{2.4}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $c_L > 0$, and λ is a coupling constant.

The main result of this article will also hold for potentials having local singularities. However, for the sake of simplicity we will restrict to piecewise continuous potentials satisfying (2.4) in the sequel.

Together with the operator H we will consider a Schrödinger operator

$$h = -\Delta + \lambda V(x), \tag{2.5}$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with the same potential $\lambda V(x)$ as above.

We will assume that $V_-(x) = \max(-V(x), 0)$ is not identically zero. In this case, there is a *critical coupling constant* λ_0 such that for $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]$ the discrete spectrum of h is empty and for $\lambda > \lambda_0$, the discrete spectrum of h is not empty.

Similarly, let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be the infimum value of λ such that dor all $\lambda > \lambda_1$, H has a ground state. As it was proved recently ([**4**], [**7**], [**2**]) $\lambda_1 < \lambda_0$. Obviously λ_1 is a function of the fine structure constant α. The main result of this paper is the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Under the conditions stated above,*

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \lambda_1(\alpha) = \lambda_0. \tag{2.6}$$

3. Proof of the Theorem

3.1. Preliminaries. According to [**4**], [**7**], [**2**], for α sufficiently small $\lambda_1(\alpha) < \lambda_0$. Assume that $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \lambda_1(\alpha) = \lambda_0$ does not hold. Then, there exist a constant $\gamma > 0$, independent of α, and a sequence $\alpha_n \to 0$, $\alpha_n \in (0, \alpha_0]$, for some $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that $H_\gamma = T + (\lambda_0 - \gamma)V$ has a groundstate for all α_n. Let $\psi_0(\alpha)$ be this groundstate. Then, $(V\psi_0, \psi_0) < 0$, for if $(V\psi_0, \psi_0) = 0$ then ψ_0 would be an eigenfunction of T, which is impossible, and on the other hand if $(V\psi_0, \psi_0) > 0$ then $\inf \sigma(H_\gamma) > \inf \sigma(T)$ which is also impossible. Consequently,

$$\inf \sigma(H_{\gamma/2}) \le (H_{\gamma/2}\psi_0, \psi_0) = (H_\gamma \psi_0, \psi_0) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(V\psi_0, \psi_0) < E_0, \tag{3.1}$$

for all α_n.

Now we shall show that (3.1) does not hold for small α. Following ([**5**]) we introduce the total momentum P

$$P = p_{el} \otimes I_f + I_{el} \otimes P_f, \tag{3.2}$$

where p_{el} and $P_f = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k\, a^*_\lambda(k) a_\lambda(k)\, dk$ denote the electron and the photon momentum operators, respectively. The operator T is translationally invariant, and therefore it commutes with P. We will now make a partition of unity on the space of total momentum. Let $\chi_1 = \chi(|P| < \alpha^q)$, $\chi_3 = \chi(|P| > P_0)$, and $\chi_2 = 1 - \chi_1 - \chi_3$, where $P_0 > 0$, and $q > 0$ will be chosen later. Here $\chi(S)$ is the characteristic function of the set S.

For an arbitrary state ψ, using Schwarz's inequality we get

$$\big(H_{\gamma/2}\psi, \psi\big) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{3} L_i[\psi_i], \tag{3.3}$$

where $\psi_i = \psi\chi_i$, and

$$L_i[\psi_i] = (T\psi_i, \psi_i) + (\lambda_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2})(V\psi_i, \psi_i) - \frac{4}{\kappa}(|V|\psi_i, \psi_i), \tag{3.4}$$

for $i = 1, 3$, and

$$L_2[\psi_2] = (T\psi_2, \psi_2) + (\lambda_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2})(V\psi_2, \psi_2) - \kappa(|V|\psi_2, \psi_2), \tag{3.5}$$

with $\kappa > 0$.

We shall prove

$$L_i[\psi_i] \geq E_0||\psi_i||^2, \tag{3.6}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and α sufficiently small.

In the sequel we choose κ such that

$$\sigma_d\left(-\frac{\gamma}{4\lambda_0}\Delta - \kappa c_L(1 + |x|)^{-2-\delta}\right) = \emptyset, \tag{3.7}$$

which is always possible since $(1 + |x|)^{-2-\delta}$ is short–range.

3.2. Large momentum estimates.

First notice that using (2.4) we have the estimate

$$L_3[\psi_3] \geq (T\psi_3, \psi_3) - \tilde{c}((1 + |x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_3, \psi_3), \tag{3.8}$$

where $\tilde{c} = (\lambda_0 - (\gamma/2) + (4/\kappa))c_L$.

Using the definition of T we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T\psi_3, \psi_3) &= -(\Delta_x\psi_3, \psi_3) + 2\sqrt{\alpha}\Re(\nabla_x\psi_3, A\psi_3)\\ &+\alpha(A^2\psi_3, \psi_3) + \sqrt{\alpha}g\sigma \cdot (B\psi_3, \psi_3) + (H_f\psi_3, \psi_3).\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Proceeding in a similar way as in the subsection "a priori estimates" on reference [**7**] (see also [**6**], page 586) we get,

$$|\sqrt{\alpha}(\nabla_x\psi_3, A\psi_3)| \leq c\sqrt{\alpha}||\nabla_x\psi_3||^2 + c\sqrt{\alpha}(H_f\psi_3, \psi_3) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$|\sqrt{\alpha}(B\psi_3, \psi_3)| \leq c\sqrt{\alpha}||\psi_3||^2 + c\sqrt{\alpha}(H_f\psi_3, \psi_3). \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, for small α we have

$$(T\psi_3, \psi_3) \geq (1 - c\sqrt{\alpha})||\nabla_x\psi_3||^2 + (1 - c\sqrt{\alpha})(H_f\psi_3, \psi_3) - c\sqrt{\alpha}||\psi_3||^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Here, and in the sequel, c denotes a generic positive constant. Notice that $||\nabla_x\psi_3||^2 = ||(P - P_f)\psi_3||^2$, and $(H_f\psi_3, \psi_3) \geq (|P_f|\psi_3, \psi_3)$. On the support of the function χ_3, $|P| > P_0$, which implies

$$(P - P_f)^2 + |P_f| \geq \frac{1}{4}P_0,$$

assuming P_0 is chosen to be greater than 1. In fact, if $|P_f| \geq P_0/2$, then $(P-P_f)^2 + |P_f| \geq P_0/2 > P_0/4$. On the other hand, if $|P_f| \leq P_0/2$, then $(P - P_f)^2 + |P_f| \geq P_0^2/4 \geq P_0/4$ (since $P_0 > 1$).

Therefore,

$$(T\psi_3, \psi_3) \geq (1 - \sqrt{\alpha}C)\frac{P_0}{4}||\psi_3||^2 - c\sqrt{\alpha}||\psi_3||^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Using the bound (3.13) in (3.8) we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} L_3[\psi_3] &\geq (1 - \sqrt{\alpha}C)\tfrac{P_0}{4}||\psi_3||^2 - c\sqrt{\alpha}||\psi_3||^2 - \tilde{c}((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_3, \psi_3) \\ &\geq ||\psi_3||^2\left((1 - \sqrt{\alpha}C)\tfrac{P_0}{4} - c\sqrt{\alpha} - \tilde{c}\right) > E_0(\alpha)||\psi_3||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for sufficiently large P_0.

3.3. Small momentum estimates. To bound $L_1[\psi_1]$ we use the following estimate (see, e.g., [**4, 3**]) for sufficiently small $|P|$ and all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0]$

$$(T\psi_1, \psi_1) \geq E_0||\psi_1||^2 + (1 - d(\alpha))\,(|P|^2\psi_1, \psi_1), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} d(\alpha) = 0$. We will assume $(1 - d(\alpha)) > 3/4$. The bound (3.15) in turn implies,

$$L_1[\psi_1] \geq E_0||\psi_1||^2 + \frac{3}{4}(|P|^2\psi_1, \psi_1) - \tilde{c}\left((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_1, \psi_1\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\tilde{\psi}_1$ be the function ψ_1 written in the relative coordinates (see, [**4**]). Namely, as an element of $\mathcal{H}$ the function

$$\psi_1 = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\psi_n^1(x, s, y_1, \ldots, y_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n),$$

where s is the spin of the particle, x its position vector, $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, the position vectors of photons and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ the corresponding polarizations. Instead of the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, we introduce the variables $\eta_i = y_i - x$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and express the function ψ_1 in the new variables setting $\tilde{\psi}_n^1(x, s, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \equiv \psi_n^1(x, s, y_1, \ldots, y_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\psi_n^1(x, s, y_1, \ldots, y_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$.

In these new variables $(|P|^2\psi_1, \psi_1) = ||\nabla_x\tilde{\psi}_1||^2$, and

$$L_1[\psi_1] \geq E_0||\psi_1||^2 + \frac{3}{4}||\nabla_x\tilde{\psi}_1||^2 - \tilde{c}\left((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_1\right), \tag{3.17}$$

and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ is supported in the region $|P| < \alpha^q$.

Define the operator,

$$M \equiv \tilde{c}|p|^{-1}(1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}|p|^{-1}. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $(1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}$ is short–range, the operator M is compact. Denote by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \ldots$ and, respectively, $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n, \ldots$ the eigenvalues, respectively the eigenfunctions, of M. The eigenvalue λ's accumulate to zero. Thus, $\lambda_n > -1/4$ for all n sufficiently large. Using the spectral decomposition of M we can estimate

$$I \equiv \frac{3}{4}(f, f) + (Mf, f) \geq \frac{3}{4}||f||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\lambda_i|(f, \varphi_i)|^2 + \lambda_n||f_\perp||^2, \tag{3.19}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $f_\perp$ being the projection of f onto the subspace orthogonal to φ_i, all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Collecting terms, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \left(\tfrac{3}{4} + \lambda_n\right)||f_\perp||^2 + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\tfrac{3}{4} + \lambda_i\right)|(f, \varphi_i)|^2 \\ &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}||f_\perp||^2 + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i|(f, \varphi_i)|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

with $c_i = (3/4) + \lambda_i$. Now set $f = |P|\psi_1$. Since f is supported in the region $|P| < \alpha^q$, and the φ_i's are independent of α,

$$\frac{|(f,\varphi_i)|^2}{||f||^2} \to 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{||f_\perp||^2}{||f||^2} \to 1$$

as $\alpha \to 0$. This finally implies that

$$L_1[\psi_1] \geq E_0||\psi_1||^2. \tag{3.21}$$

3.4. Intermediate momentum estimates.

Finally we have to estimate $L_2[\psi_2]$. We start with

$$L_2[\psi_2] \geq (T\psi_2,\psi_2) + (\lambda_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2})(V\psi_2,\psi_2) - \kappa c_L((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_2,\psi_2) \tag{3.22}$$

with $\kappa < \gamma/16$.

Using estimates similar to (3.10,3.11), we get for an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, and some $C_0(\epsilon)$ (provided α is sufficiently small),

$$(T\psi_2,\psi_2) \geq -(1-\epsilon)(\Delta_x\psi_2,\psi_2) + (1-\epsilon)(H_f,\psi_2,\psi_2) - C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2 + E_0||\psi_2||^2, \tag{3.23}$$

(here we used the fact that $E_0 \leq C\alpha$ for small α and some constant C independent of α).

We first assume

$$(H_f\psi_2,\psi_2) \geq C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}. \tag{3.24}$$

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} L_2[\psi_2] \geq E_0||\psi_2||^2 - (1-\epsilon)(\Delta_x\psi_2,\psi_2) + (\lambda_0 - \tfrac{\gamma}{2})(V\psi_2,\psi_2) \\ -\kappa c_L((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_2,\psi_2) \geq E_0||\psi_2||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

provided $\epsilon < \gamma/(4\lambda_0)$.

To complete the proof of the theorem it suffices to consider the case,

$$(H_f\psi_2,\psi_2) \leq C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}. \tag{3.26}$$

Notice that $(H_f\psi_2,\psi_2) \geq (|P_f|\psi_2,\psi_2)$ and

$$\nabla_x^2 = p_e^2 = (P-P_f)^2 = |P|^2 - 2PP_f + |P_f|^2 \geq |P|^2 - 2|P||P_f|.$$

On the support of ψ_2, $\alpha^q \leq |P| \leq P_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -(1-\epsilon)(\Delta_x\psi_2,\psi_2) - C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2 \geq \\ \geq (1-\epsilon)(|P|^2\psi_2,\psi_2) - 2(1-\epsilon)(|P||P_f|\psi_2,\psi_2) - C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2 \geq \\ \geq (1-\epsilon)(|P|^2\psi_2,\psi_2) - 2(1-\epsilon)P_0(H_f\psi_2,\psi_2) - C_0(\epsilon)\alpha||\psi_2||^2 \geq \\ \geq (1-\epsilon)(|P|^2\psi_2,\psi_2) - C\alpha||\psi_2||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

with some constant C independent of α. Combining the last estimate with (3.25) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} L_2[\psi_2] \geq (1-\epsilon)(|P|^2\psi_2,\psi_2) - C\alpha||\psi_2||^2 + E_0||\psi_2||^2 \\ +(\lambda_0 - \tfrac{\gamma}{2})(V\psi_2,\psi_2) - \kappa c_L((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\psi_2,\psi_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Proceeding as in the estimates for large momenta, we introduce relative coordinates for photons. Let $\tilde{\psi}_2$ be ψ_2 written in these coordinates. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L_2[\psi_2] = (1-\epsilon)(|P|^2\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) - C\alpha||\tilde{\psi}_2||^2 + E_0||\psi_2||^2 + \\ (\lambda_0 - \tfrac{\gamma}{2})(V\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) - \kappa c_L((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Recall that

$$-\frac{\gamma}{4\lambda_0}(|P|^2\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) - \kappa c_L((1+|x|)^{-2-\delta}\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_2[\psi_2] \geq (1-\epsilon-\tfrac{\gamma}{4\lambda_0})(|P|^2\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) - C\alpha||\tilde{\psi}_2||^2 + \\ +E_0||\psi_2||^2 + (\lambda_0 - \tfrac{\gamma}{2})(V\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Due to the condition $|P| > \alpha^q$, with $q < 1/2$, for small α we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{8\lambda_0}(|P|^2\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) \geq \frac{\gamma}{8\lambda_0}\alpha^{2q}||\tilde{\psi}_2||^2 > C\alpha||\tilde{\psi}_2||^2.$$

To complete the proof of the theorem it suffices now to notice that

$$(1-\epsilon-\frac{3}{8\lambda_0}\gamma)(|P|^2\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) + (\lambda_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2})(V\tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_2) \geq 0,$$

for $\epsilon < \gamma/(8\lambda_0)$.

4. Acknowledgements

One of us (S. Vugalter) thanks the hospitality of the Physics Department, P. U. Católica de Chile, where part of this work was done.

References

[1] V. Bach, I.M. Sigal, and J. Fröhlich, *Spectral analysis for systems of atoms and molecules coupled to the quantized radiation field*, Commun. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 249–290.

[2] I. Catto and C. Hainzl, *Self–energy of one electron in non–relativistic QED*, J. Funct. Anal. **207** (2004), 68–110.

[3] T. Chen, *Operator-theoretic infrared renormalization and construction of dressed T-particle states in non–relativistic QED*, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich (2001), math–ph/0108021.

[4] T. Chen, V. Vougalter, S. A. Vugalter, *The increase of binding energy and enhanced binding in nonrelativistic QED.* J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), 1961–1970.

[5] J. Fröhlich, *Existence of dressed one–electron states in a class of persistent models.* Fortschr. Phys. **22** (1974), 159–198.

[6] M. Griesemer, E.H. Lieb, and M. Loss, *Ground states in non–relativistic quantum electrodynamics.* Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 557–595.

[7] C. Hainzl, V. Vougalter, S. A. Vugalter, *Enhanced binding in nonrelativistic QED.* Commun. Math. Phys. **233** (2003), 13–26.

[8] F. Hiroshima, *Self–adjointness of the Pauli–Fierz Hamiltonian for arbitrary values of coupling constants*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **3** (2002), 171–201.

Department of Physics, P. Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile
E-mail address: `rbenguri@fis.puc.cl`

Matematisches Institut, LMU München, Theresienstrasse 39, 80333 Munich, Germany
E-mail address: `wugalter@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de`